

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/561,220	RONEN ET AL.	

Examiner	Art Unit	
CATHY K. WORLEY	1638	

All Participants:

Status of Application: _____

(1) CATHY K. WORLEY.

(3) ____.

(2) Martin Moynihan.

(4) ____.

Date of Interview: 26 August 2008

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner called to inform the Applicant that the amended product claims were in condition for allowance, and therefore, the Examiner would rejoin the process claims, however, the only process claims that were in the same scope as the allowable product claims were claims 14 and 24. The Applicant and the Examiner discussed Examiner's amendments to the broader process claims, especially claims 12 and 21; and they came to agreement on the Examiner's amendments to bring the application to condition for allowance..